Interview Summary	10/606,177	VETELAINEN, ALTTI PEKKA HENRIK	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	WILLIAM J. ALLEN	3625	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>WILLIAM J. ALLEN</u> .	(3)		
(2) <u>Walter Malinowski</u> .	(4)		
Date of Interview: <u>19 August 2008</u> .			
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)□ Video Conference c)□ Personal [copy given to: 1)□ applicant 2	2)∏ applicant's representative	e]	
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d)☐ Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>16</u> .			
Identification of prior art discussed:			
Agreement with respect to the claims f)⊠ was reached. g	ı)	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general reached, or any other comments: <u>Applicant was contacted avoid a potential rejection under 35 USC 101. Upon review</u>	to discuss a proposed amend	<u>ment to claim 16 that wo</u>	<u>ould</u>
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amend allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no callowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached	opy of the amendments that w		laims
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.			
	/Jeffrey A. Smith/		
	Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art U	nit 3625	

Application No.

Applicant(s)